SPINE INTEGRATION FOR QUADRUPEDAL ROBOTS



OVERVIEW

Purpose:

- Objective: Investigating integration of a flexible spine into quadrupedal robots for enhanced adaptability and locomotion in challenging terrains.
- Inspiration: Drawing from biological systems to develop a jointed flexible body capable of absorbing external forces during locomotion.
- Benefits: Broadening range of movement while reducing noise on IMU sensors.

Methodology:

- Force and Position Analysis: Conducting analysis to evaluate impact of spine incorporation on force absorption and gallop dynamics.
- Modeling Body: Substituting traditionally rigid body with a compliant joint linking two masses through simulation and assessing motion dynamics on multiple setups.

Advancements:

- Balancing Flexibility and Rigidity: Achieving balance between flexibility and rigidity to promote additional handling without sacrificing control capability.
- Potential Applications: Exploring in space and rescue missions to navigate unstable and dangerous terrain.

INTRODUCTION

- To introduce an ideal force to various model configurations of compliant joint: series, parallel, rigid connection for force analysis.
- To simplify model for analysis of differences and responses between setups through ideal force sensor measurements.
- To simulate a gallop gait by modifying various sinusoidal force input parameters.
- To determine motion characteristics and displacement results as forces are applied through generating equations of motion.
- To determine more advanced configurations of model using preliminary results.

THEORY

Equations of motion

- The behaviors of the masses in the system were determined as the response to external forces and conditions in a spring-mass system.
- Spring/Damper Joint in Series:

•
$$m_1 \cdot \ddot{x}_1 = -k(x_1) - c(\dot{x}_1) + F_1 + m_1 \cdot g$$

• $m_2 \cdot \ddot{x}_2 = -k(x_2) - c(\dot{x}_2) + m_2 \cdot g$

• Spring/Damper Joint in Parallel:

•
$$m_1 \cdot \ddot{x}_1 = -k(x_1) - c \cdot (\dot{x}_1) + F_1 + m_1 \cdot g + k(x_3)$$

• $m_2 \cdot \ddot{x}_2 = -k(x_2) - c \cdot (\dot{x}_2) - k(x_3) + m_2 \cdot g$

- $k(x_1)$ and $k(x_2)$ are spring forces exerted on their respective masses and are calculated based on the displacement of each mass from its equilibrium position according to Hooke's law. $k(x_3)$ is the force exerted by the spring connecting mass 1 and 2 in parallel.
- x_1 , x_2 represent the displacements of m_1 , m_2 ; k is the spring constant, c is the damping coefficient, F_1 is the external force, g is the acceleration due to gravity.

Sukhreen Sandhu Dr. Hao Xu

Department of Electrical and Biomedical Engineering University of Nevada, Reno

METHODOLOGY

Using Simscape's Mechanical Translational library, a translational system of the simplified quadruped was built Translational Spring2 Translational Damper with series, parallel, and no compliant joint configurations, (See Figures 1-3.) Figure 2. Series Configuration The initial values for m_1 , m_2 were set to 6 kg each to represent the total Unitree robot's 12 kg body weight. k **Translational Spring2** and *c* were kept at MATLAB's default configurations of R C

1000 N/m and 100 $N \cdot s/m$, respectively, and g was set Translational Damper to 9.81 $\frac{m}{s^2}$.



Figure 1. Full Translational System (No Compliant Joint)





Figure 3. Parallel Configuration

Each configuration was tested at a base rate of 1 for the amplitude and 1 rad/sec for the frequency values of the sinusoidal wave. This was compared against changing the values to 10 for the amplitude and 31.4 rad/sec for the frequency to mimic a gallop gait force input. The scope measured the force sensor values between the two masses.





Figures 4-5. Series Spring and Damper: Base Configuration, Gallop Configuration

Figures 6-7. Parallel Spring and Damper: Base Configuration, Gallop Configuration

Figures 8-9.No Compliant Joint: Base Configuration, Gallop Configuration

CONCLUSION

| Table 1. Base Sinusoidal Data | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Base Case (Amp = 1, F=1) | Series Configuration | Parallel Configuration | No Joint Configuration | | |
| Peak force amplitude | 7.104 units | 7.496 units | 19.96 units | | |
| Mean force value | 9.976 units | 14.74 units | 19.79 units | | |
| Median force value | 9.820 units | 14.88 units | 19.93 units | | |

Table 2. Gallop Sinusoidal Data

| Gallop Gait (Amp = 10, F=31.4) | Series Configuration | Parallel Configuration | No Joint Configuration |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Peak force amplitude | 11.99 units | 15.31 units | 20 units |
| Mean force value | 9.91 units | 14.68 units | 19.6 units |
| Median force value | 9.89 units | 14.68 units | 19.92 units |

```
g = 9.81; % gravitational acceleration (m/s^2)
 tspan = [0 10];
 amplitude1 = 1;
 frequency1 = 1;
% Define propulsion force for mass
F1 = @(t) amplitude1 * sin(2*pi*frequency1*t)
% Define ODE system
odefun = @(t, x) [x(2); (-k*x(1) - c*x(2) + F1(t) + m1*g) / m1; ...
                       x(4); (-k*x(3) - c*x(4) + m2*g) / m21;
% Initial conditions [x1(0), x1_dot(0), x2(0), x2_dot(0)]
 initial_conditions = [0, 0, 0, 0];
% Solve ODE
 [t, X] = ode45(odefun, tspan, initial_conditions);
% Extract displacement of mass 1 and mass 2
x1 = X(:,1);
x2 = x1 - X(:,1);
% Calculate min/max displacements for mass 1 and mass 2
           splacements = [min(x1), max(x1), min(x2), max(x2)];
                  splacement for mass 1: ', num2str(min_max_displacements(1));
                       ement for mass 1: ', num2str(min_max_displacements(2))]
```

Figure 10. Displacement Simulation Progress



This material is based on work supported by the NVSGC program under Grant No: 80NSSC20M0043. research is supported by National Science Foundation REU grant EEC #1852578. This research was done under Dr. Hao Xu at the University of Nevada, Reno at the Autonomous Systems Laboratory. Figure 11 obtained from: (Eckert, Peter & Badri-Spröwitz, Alexander & Witte, Hartmut & Ijspeert, A.J. (2014). Comparing the effect of different spine and leg designs for a small, bounding quadruped robot.)



- Both series and parallel configurations exhibit lower mean/median force values compared to the no-joint configuration (see Tables 1-
- Lower force values indicate absorption of some force by the compliant joint.
- Peak force values vary across inputs, with smaller values suggesting dissipation of force resulting in smaller amplitudes.
- Larger variations between series/parallel states and nojoint data suggest greater resistance to deformation within the no-joint configurations due to lack of flexibility.

FUTURE WORK

• Analyze displacements of complex systems for better understanding of forces acting on the system. Figure 10 demonstrates working progress. Implement a forced feedback control system using reinforcement learning to adjust joint angles based on the applied forces. Enhance gait simulations by considering other parameters such as sinusoidal phase, leg motion, and ground interaction. Considering more complex dynamics, the future model will nimum displacement for mass 2: ', num2str(min_max_displacements(3))]) look closer to Figure Maximum displacement for mass 2: ', num2str(min_max_displacements(4))]); • 11. Figure 11. Quadruped Model

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS